

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2023 4:24 PM
To: Licensing Team <Licensing@bradford.gov.uk>
Subject: Queens Hotel Thornton Road.

To whom it may concern.

I am writing to you regarding a application for extended hours for Queens Hotel on Thornton Road. I am a resident who lives over the road from the pub. The noise level is bad enough already inside the pub also people in cars in the car park blasting music out .the land lady does nothing about this. Also people arguing and fighting outside the pub in the carpark the landlady again does nothing about this . The police have been called a number of times to the pub regarding trouble.we understand it a public house and expect some noise but there has to be a cut of point. People have children and jobs and also need rest and quiet time.its bad enough when people are leaving the pub already shouting and screaming. ,Waking everyone up. Please really consider the families who live around the pub because the landlady doesn't. I really hope the licence does NOT get extended because its already bad enough with what we all have to put up with. Your sincerely Mrs [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Thornton Road
Bradford
Bd80jn

From: [REDACTED]@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: Tuesday, October 3, 2023 11:38 PM
To: Licensing Team <Licensing@bradford.gov.uk>
Subject: Objection to Proposed Extension of Opening Hours for Queens Hotel, 863 Thornton Road

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Proposed Extension of Opening Hours for Queens Hotel

I am writing to formally object to the proposed extension of opening hours for the Queens Hotel, located at 863 Thornton Road, Bradford, BD8 0HH. As a resident and homeowner living close to the establishment, and as a father of two school-aged children, I have several concerns about this application surrounding noise nuisance, particularly around my children's ability to sleep.

1. **Smoking Area:** The smoking area outside the pub already contributes to noise, particularly late into the night in the warmer months. Extending the opening hours would worsen this issue.
2. **Outdoor Drinking:** In warm weather, regardless of the time—day, evening, or early morning—the patrons often drink outside, creating a noise nuisance.

3. **Exiting the Establishment:** Individuals frequently shout across the street, particularly while intoxicated, when bidding farewells upon exiting the premises.

4. **Fights and Arguments:** These incidents occur occasionally and are a cause for concern. Extending the opening hours could result in more intoxicated patrons, increasing the likelihood of such incidents, and pushing them forward into the early hours of the morning.

5. **Increase in Patronage:** Given the limited number of late-night venues in the area, extending the opening hours could attract more patrons, thereby escalating noise and potential for fights and arguments.

6. **Failure to Comply with Existing Rules:** I have attached a photographs taken around 01:23 on 05/08/2023 (check the meta data of the images for details), which shows the pubs failure to adhere its current licencing hours. This isn't the only incident, but it is the only incident I took photographs of, which raises concerns about compliance with any new conditions. If the licence is granted and this behaviour continues, the doors could remain open until 3 am.

7. **Staggered Departures:** People exiting the pub gradually at different times, later into the early morning will prolong the noise disturbance (as referenced in point 3), making it more disruptive than a single, larger exit at 12:30 as per current licence.

8. **Inebriation Levels:** Longer opening hours will likely result in increased alcohol consumption, elevating the risk of noisy departures and fights.

9. **Inconsistencies in Previous Statements:** During the last hearing, April 2022, the proprietor stated that the venue would close at 1 am, which for me, raised questions as to why the proprietor was applying for 2 am licence, this statement still concerns me particularly when the establishment was open until at least 01:23 earlier this year, as evidenced in the attached photographs.

10. **Noise Comparison:** The proprietor may argue that the convenience store close by is open 24 hours and they make more noise than the Queens Hotel. This is a false statement, people entering and leaving the convenience store typically make very little noise, nothing more that the low thud of a car door opening and closing which is about the same noise level as a car travelling past our home. From the Queens Hotel on the other hand, comes a regular high pitch clink of bottles being thrown into the bin outside, music blaring from open windows and inebriated individuals shouting and swearing at each other as they exit the Queens Hotel and walk down the street, this is a completely different type of noise and one that is very disruptive.

11. **Door Closure:** Another argument from the proprietor in the last hearing was that they would close the doors at 11pm and not let anyone else in, current behaviour doesn't support this, in the attached photographs, the doors are clearly open, all the lights are on, inside and out, the windows are open, and the car park is full, all indications the pub is open for business 50 minutes after their current licence permits them to be open.

Considering these factors, I strongly urge the licensing Team to reject the application for the extension of opening hours for the Queens Hotel.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my objections.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Thornton Road





